CellPress

Trends in Cognitive Sciences

with free-wheeling personal interaction can successfully be transferred to the online space. Technical solutions regarding proximity-graded sharing of virtual spaces such as GatherTown or Mozilla Hubs have potential here, but more innovation is needed. Making online conferences feel great and personal is the ultimate goal that we need to innovate towards.

Concluding Remarks

Once the technical issues are resolved, online conferences can be organized by relatively small teams. Our experience, and that of others such as Federation of European Neuroscience Society Forum (https://forum2020.fens.org/), 2020 Organization for Human Brain Mapping (https://www.humanbrainmapping.org/), ICLR 2020 (https://medium.com/@iclr_ conf/gone-virtual-lessons-from-iclr2020-1743ce6164a3), and NeurIPS 2020 [14], has shown that online conferences have potential to establish a forum for scientific exchange with numerous advantages to physical meetings: less costly, environmentally friendly, more inclusive, and largely interactive. The Neuromatch Conferences serve as proof that moving conferences online can alleviate inclusivity issues associated by reducing the barriers to participation for people from many areas of the world [6], people who cannot afford expensive accommodation and registration, those subject to travel restrictions, or those with caring responsibilities who cannot leave for long periods of time.

Acknowledgments

We thank all of our volunteers from the NMC conferences (see the supplemental information online for the list of names). We also thank the National Science Foundation (NSF) for support from 1734220 to B.W., and DARPA for support to T.A.

Supplemental Information

Supplemental information associated with this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics. 2021.01.007.

Resources

ⁱhttps://www.cis.upenn.edu/~cjtaylor/PUBLICATIONS/ pdfs/TaylorTR08.pdf

¹Department of Bioengineering, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA

²Department of Biomedical Engineering, Mahidol University, Nakhon Pathom, Thailand
³The Institute of Science and Technology Austria.

Klosterneuburg, Austria ⁴Department of Cognitive Sciences, University of California.

Irvine, Irvine, CA, USA ⁵Institute of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology (IMBB),

Foundation for Research and Technology-Hellas (FORTH), Crete, Greece ⁶School of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Georgia

The school of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA, USA ⁷Department of Bioengineering and the Department of Psychology, Penn State University, State College, PA, USA

⁸Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Imperial College London, London, UK ⁹Montreal, Quebec, Canada

*Correspondence:

titipata@seas.upenn.edu (T. Achakulvisut), bwyble@gmail.com (B. Wyble), d.goodman@imperial.ac.uk (D.F.M. Goodman), and koerding@gmail.com (K.P. Kording). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2021.01.007

© 2021 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

References

- 1. Burtscher, L. *et al.* (2020) The carbon footprint of large astronomy meetings. *Nat. Astron.* 4, 823–825
- Bottanelli, F. et al. (2020) Science during lockdown from virtual seminars to sustainable online communities. J. Cell Sci. 133, jcs249607
- De Picker, M. (2020) Rethinking inclusion and disability activism at academic conferences: strategies proposed by a PhD student with a physical disability. *Disabil. Soc.* 35, 163–167
- Weissgerber, T. et al. (2020) Mitigating the impact of conference and travel cancellations on researchers' futures. eLife 9, e57032
- Achakulvisut, T. et al. (2020) Improving on legacy conferences by moving online. eLife 9, e57892
- 6. Sarabipour, S. (2020) Virtual conferences raise standards for accessibility and interactions. *eLife* 9, e62668
- Rich, S. *et al.* (2020) Ten simple rules for creating a brandnew virtual academic meeting (even amid a pandemic). *PLoS Comput. Biol.* 16, e1008485
- Martinez-Acosta, V.G. and Favero, C.B. (2018) A discussion of diversity and inclusivity at the institutional level: the need for a strategic plan. J. Undergrad. Neurosci. Educ. 16, A252–A260
- Achakulvisut, T. et al. (2016) Science Concierge: a fast content-based recommendation system for scientific publications. *PLoS One* 11, e0158423
- 10. Anon (2016) Women need to be seen and heard at conferences. *Nature* 538, 290
- Clark Blickenstaff, J. (2005) Women and science careers. leaky pipeline or gender filter? *Gend. Educ.* 17, 369–386
- Achakulvisut, T. et al. (2020) neuromatch: Algorithms to match scientists. eLife Labs Published online May 18, 2020. https://elifesciences.org/labs/5ed408f4/neuromatchalgorithms-to-match-scientists
- Bozelos, P.A. and Vogels, T.P. (2020) Talking science, online. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 22, 1–2
- 14. Rush, A.M. and Strobelt, H. (2020) MiniConf- a virtual conference framework. *arXiv* 2007.12238

Letter

On Corporate Responsibility When Studying Social Media Use and Well-Being

Christian Montag,^{1,*} Simon Hegelich,² Cornelia Sindermann,¹ Dmitri Rozgonjuk,^{1,3} Davide Marengo,⁴ and Jon D. Elhai^{5,6}

We read the review on social media (SM) and well-being by Kross et al. [1] with great interest. We appreciate the overview and avenues discussed by the authors to improve research in this vivid area. From our perspective, the most important takehome message from Kross et al. is that whether SM 'enhances or diminishes well-being depends on how and why people use it, as well as who uses it' (page 55). In light of many findings illustrating small effect sizes when investigating single associations between a variable, such as personality, and SM use [2,3], we share the opinion that considering each of the how, who, and why questions independently will not provide a complete picture of the relationship between SM use and well-being. Such insights can only be derived when the dynamic interplay of many important variables is considered (see Key Figure in [1]).

What was addressed at the end of Kross *et al.* under the term 'platform-centered interventions' (page 64) in our view represents perhaps the most relevant research focus to be followed in the SM well-being



Trends in Cognitive Sciences

complex; aside from raising questions, such as whether passive SM use causes more problems than active SM use, one might wonder how and why people are constantly lured into SM platforms to increase their screen time.

As for the how question, push notifications, read receipts, likes, and other features are commonly thought to 'nudge' people to spend excessive amounts of time on SM [4,5]. However, we lack numbers describing the actual influence of these features on usage time. Of note, psychological processes, such as Fear of Missing Out (FoMO), that are triggered by design elements and were highlighted in Kross et al. are robustly linked to negative affect [6]. Psychological processes related to upward social comparison (e.g., comparing number of likes or followers) were also mentioned in the review by Kross et al. as having the potential for lowering well-being.

As for the why question, the main reason is the data business model (DBM). In essence, every SM user pays with his/her own data for the allowance to use a SM service instead of paying with actual money. The user data, in turn, can be used to create individual in-house user profiles, which can be targeted with ads. By offering the possibility of targeted advertisement, SM platforms earn money. To enhance payoffs, targeting must become more precise, which can be achieved by collecting more user data. This, in turn, can be accomplished if users spend more time on the platforms supported by SM design [4]. In addition, many SM platforms systematically present content the user will most likely react to, and this can be personalized information or highly emotionalized content [7,8]. The business logic behind this is that each reaction reveals more information about the user and makes her/him an easier target for advertisement purposes.

As a consequence of this DBM, we believe that it is essential to ask which design elements of SM platforms impact users' time spent on SM and, in turn, well-being [4]? This question can be expanded by taking into account personal characteristics and reasons of usage as well as how SM is used [9]. Following such an agenda would supplement Kross et al.'s view that each SM service can only be compared with another service to some extent, as they differ in their architecture and 'addictive potential' [10]. As a consequence, research needs to shift attention to the question of how SM services should be designed to foster well-being (in Kross et al.'s work 'platform-centered interventions', page 64). The ethical design manifesto (https://2017.ind.ie/ethical-design/), as proposed by ind.ie, might provide some initial ideas on which direction to take [7].

Answers on how to design a healthy SM architecture ultimately can only be provided by studying the abundantly existing objective SM user data; unfortunately,

these data are often only available to the companies. We are of the opinion that these kinds of data need to be shared with independent scientists under strict guidance of external oversight boards and ethical principles (Box 1). Currently, scientists need to spend taxpaver money on 'reverse engineering' of SM platforms, hence mimicking existing platform design in experiments where such data would actually be available. SM companies are still 'black-boxes' not sharing this kind of information, and the recent initiative from Facebook, called Social Science One, failed to help in this context [7,11].

Ultimately, the study of the SM well-being complex must also seriously consider alternatives to the current DBM. Would a monetary payment model be better for the well-being of SM users than the DBM [12]? For instance, for a reasonable subscription fee, users could expect that the design of SM platforms will not aim at prolonging online time or will respect the privacy of its users [7,12]. Perhaps another alternative would be if SM

Box 1. Towards Sustainable Social Media Platforms via Cooperation Between Social Media Companies and Independent Scientists

As social media (SM) platforms currently are 'black boxes', we proposed in this article that SM companies share data with independent scientists. For such projects, applying high research and privacy standards as proposed by ethic committees (ECs) is important to meet concerns regarding data protection. From our perspective, at least two approaches are crucial to help design SM services to reduce detrimental effects on SM users and foster well-being. First, existing data, including information allowing for the study of associations between usage of SM platforms and users' well-being, should be shared with researchers for independent review and research purposes. Second, if research questions regarding platform design and well-being cannot be answered with existing data, independent scientists should be able to implement such research via the SM platform after consultation with an EC. This would include an in-depth discussion of study design, hypotheses, power analysis, and data protection plans.

Moreover, a few SM companies have become powerful, and their service not only impacts well-being of users but also public discourse. Therefore, we believe it is necessary to monitor the activities of SM companies to protect the users and society from both data scandals and related harm. Accordingly, we are convinced that it is also necessary that changes in platform design implemented by SM companies should undergo approval from ECs because billions of users are affected by such changes.

We argue that SM companies should profit from transparency that can result in higher trust towards a SM platform/company, which is currently lacking. SM companies have mostly denied data access for independent scientists. We are convinced that it is time to implement a right to data access for independent research that has gone through standard evaluation processes. If SM companies are not willing or not able to cooperate in this quest for transparency, the alternative must be to make a public good out of SM.



Trends in Cognitive Sciences

represented a public good, public SM platforms could be funded by taxpayer money. If the decision is to keep SM private, there should be more rigorous methods of oversight of these companies.

¹Department of Molecular Psychology, Institute of Psychology and Education, Ulm University, Ulm, Germany ²Political Data Science, Technical University of Munich, Munich,

Germany ³Institute of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Tartu, Tartu, Estonia

⁴Department of Psychology, University of Turin, Turin, Italy
 ⁵Department of Psychology, The University of Toledo, Toledo,

OH, USA ⁶Department of Psychiatry, The University of Toledo, Toledo, OH, USA

*Correspondence:

christian.montag@uni-ulm.de (C. Montag). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2021.01.002

© 2021 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

References

- Kross, E. et al. (2021) Social media and well-being: pitfalls, progress, and next steps. Trends Cogn. Sci. 25, 55–66
- Sindermann, C. et al. (2020) Personality associations with Facebook use and tendencies towards Facebook Use Disorder. Addict. Behav. Rep. 11, 100264
- Marengo, D. et al. (2020) One social media company to rule them all: associations between use of Facebookowned social media platforms, sociodemographic characteristics, and the big five personality traits. *Front. Psychol.* 11, 936
- Montag, C. et al. (2019) Addictive features of social media/ messenger platforms and freemium games against the background of psychological and economic theories. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 16, 2612
- Alutaybi, A. et al. (2019) How can social networks design trigger fear of missing out? In 2019 IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man and Cybernetics (SMC), pp. 3758–3765
- Elhai, J.D. et al. (2020) Fear of missing out (FOMO): overview, theoretical underpinnings, and literature review on relations with severity of negative affectivity and problematic technology use. Braz. J. Psychiatry Published online May 11, 2020. https://doi.org/10.1590/1516-4446-2020-0870
- Montag, C. and Hegelich, S. (2020) Understanding detrimental aspects of social media usage: will the real culprits please stand up? *Front. Sociol.* 5, 599270
- Munn, L. (2020) Angry by design: toxic communication and technical architectures. *Human. Soc. Sci. Commun.* 7, 53
- Marengo, D. et al. (2020) Examining the links between active Facebook use, received likes, self-esteem and happiness: a study using objective social media data. *Telematics Inform.* 58, 101523
- Rozgonjuk, D. *et al.* (2020) Comparing smartphone, WhatsApp, Facebook, Instagram, and Snapchat: which platform elicits the greatest use disorder symptoms? *Cyberpsychol. Behav. Soc. Netw.* Published online September 9, 2020. https://doi.org/ 10.1089/cyber.2020.0156
- 11. Hegelich, S. (2020) Facebook needs to share more with researchers. *Nature* 579, 473

 Sindermann, C. *et al.* (2020) Should we pay for our social media/messenger applications? Preliminary data on the acceptance of an alternative to the current prevailing data business model. *Front. Psychol.* 11, 1415

Spotlight

Visual and Semantic Contributions to Visual Short-Term Memory

Weizhen Xie^{1,*} and Kareem A. Zaghloul^{1,*}

Liu *et al.* recently demonstrated novel neural evidence for visual and semantic contributions to the encoding and maintenance of object information in a delayed match-tosample task. Their data highlight the close interaction between sensory experience and prior semantic knowledge in human visual shortterm memory for naturalistic stimuli.

At any instant, our ability to grab on to and remember the information presented in the visual world relies upon our visual short-term memory (VSTM). This core mental faculty briefly retains a small amount of information to support a wide array of mental processes ranging from lower-level trans-saccadic perception to higher cognition [1]. Conventionally, VSTM is considered separate from prior semantic knowledge stored in long-term memory (LTM). To identify unique phenomena in VSTM, previous research has employed simple stimulus features such as color, orientation, and novel shape in studies of VSTM [1]. However, this practice often does not take into account the rich details that are available in our everyday visual environment. Emerging research in cognitive science has therefore turned to using more complex stimuli in experimental

studies in order to improve our understanding of visual cognition in more naturalistic settings [2,3].

The study by Liu and colleagues [4] builds upon these recent efforts by directly recording from the human brain with intracranial electroencephalography (iEEG) during a delayed match-to-sample task using images of real-world objects. Liu et al. asked observers to first encode an arbitrary word-object pair and then retain the information about the object while only the word cue remained on the screen for a few seconds. They analyzed similarity patterns of iEEG activity during encoding and maintenance of the same object across different repetitions to identify item-specific neural profiles about the object. They then introduced a novel analysis in which they correlated these neural profiles with visual features of the objects derived from a deep neural network (DNN) and with semantic features of the objects. They observed that both visual and semantic information is represented in the human brain during the early and late phases of VSTM encoding, respectively. This observation is consistent with rich behavioral observations for the contributions of semantic information to rapid visual processing [5]. They then found that both visual and semantic information about the objects appears to have stable representations throughout the 7-s delay period, but these representations during maintenance exhibit fluctuations that often wax and wane. When these representations are more prominent appears tied to the phase of low-frequency activity in the hippocampus.

Liu *et al.*'s findings provide novel insights for our understanding of how visual sensory experience and prior semantic knowledge may be integrated in the formation of human VSTM and also raise some interesting questions about the interaction between VSTM and LTM.